Pursuant to the Court's Order of March 10, 2004 and in conjunction with Plaintiff Ricoh 1 Company, Ltd.'s ("Ricoh") Motion for Leave to file an Amended Complaint, Ricoh herewith lodges its 2 3 proposed Amended Complaint (attached as Exhibit A). 4 5 Dated: March 10, 2004 Respectfully submitted, 6 Ricoh Company, Ltd. 7 8 By: <u>/s/</u> 9 Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715 Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008 10 ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, **RUBIN & DEMAIN** 11 177 Post Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94108 12 Phone: (415) 421-7151 13 Fax: (415) 362-8064 14 Gary M. Hoffman Ken Brothers 15 Eric Oliver Michael Weinstein 16 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 17 2101 L Street NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037-1526 Telephone: (202) 785-9700 19 Facsimile: (202) 887-0689 20 Edward A. Meilman DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & 21 OSHINSKY LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas 22 New York, New York 10036 Telephone: (212) 896-5471 23 Facsimile: (212) 997-9880 24 Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd. 25 26 27

28

1		
2	Gary M. Hoffman, admitted pro hac vice Kenneth W. Brothers, admitted pro hac vice	
3	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSK 2101 L Street, N.W.	Y, LLP
4	Washington, D.C. 20037-1526 Telephone: (202) 785-9700	
5	Facsimile: (202) 887-0689	
6	Edward A. Meilman, admitted pro hac vice DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSK	Y. LLP
7	1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-2714	-,
8	Phone: (212) 835-1400 Fax: (212) 992-9880	
9		
10	Jeffrey B. Demain (SBN 126715) Jonathan Weissglass (SBN 185008)	
	ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, RUBIN & DEMAIN	
	177 Post Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94108	
13 14	Phone: (415) 421-7151 Fax: (415) 362-8064	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Ricoh Company, Ltd.	
16	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18		
19	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	) Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)
20	Plaintiff,	) AMENDED COMPLAINT
21	vs.	
22	AEROFLEX INC., AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,	
23	MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD.,	
24	MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	)
25	MATROX TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.	)
26	Defendants.	· )
27		)
28		
	CASE NO. CV-03-4669 MJJ (EMC)  AMENDED COMPLAINT	

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Ricoh Company, Ltd. ("Ricoh") for its Complaint against Defendants Aeroflex Incorporated ("Aeroflex"), AMI Semiconductor, Inc. ("AMI"), Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd. ("Matrox"), Matrox Graphics Inc. ("Matrox Graphics"), Matrox International Corp. ("Matrox Int'1"), Matrox Tech, Inc. ("Matrox Tech"), and Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. ("UTMC"), alleges as follows:

**PARTIES** 

- 1. Plaintiff Ricoh is a corporation organized under the laws of Japan and maintains its principal place of business at 3-6 1-chome, Nakamagome, Tokyo, Japan.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Aeroflex is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 35 S. Service Road, Plainview, NY, 11803. Aeroflex is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant AMI is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 2300 Buckskin Road, Pocatello, ID 83201. AMI is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Matrox is a corporation organized under the laws of Quebec, Canada, maintains its principal place of business at 1055 Boul St-Regis,

  Dorval, Quebec H9P 2T4 Canada. Matrox is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has

committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of

this Court for this action.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Matrox Graphics is a corporation organized under the laws of Quebec, Canada, maintains its principal place of business at 1055 Boul St-Regis, Dorval, Quebec H9P 2T4 Canada. Matrox Graphics is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.

- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Matrox Int'l is a corporation organized under the laws of New York, maintains its principal place of business at 625 State Rt 3, Unit B, Plattsburgh, NY 12901. Matrox Int'l is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Matrox Tech is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, maintains its principal place of business at 1075 Broken Sound Parkway, NW, Boca Raton, FL 33487-3524. Matrox Tech is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant UTMC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Aeroflex, is also known as Aeroflex Microelectronic Solutions, Inc., Aeroflex UTMC Microelectronic Solutions, Inc., and formerly known as United Technologies Microelectronics Center, is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware

and maintains a place of business at 4350 Centennial Blvd, CO, 80907. UTMC is doing 1 2 business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, 3 and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action. 4 <u>JURISDICTION</u> 5 6 9. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States 7 Code, and more particularly under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et. seq. 8 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this patent infringement action under 10. 9 10 the Judicial Code of the United States, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and 1331. 11 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because Defendants are 12 present and/or doing business in this jurisdiction either directly or through their agents, or 13 14 alternatively, have consented to the jurisdiction of this Court. 15 **VENUE** 16 Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that Defendants 12. 17 18 regularly transact business in this judicial district and/or a substantial part of the events or 19 omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district and/or are found in this 20 judicial district and/or are aliens. 21 22 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND** 23 On May 1, 1990, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally 13. 24 issued United States Letters Patent No. 4,922,432 (the "'432 Patent") in the names of Hideaki 25 26 Kobayashi and Masahiro Shindo for their invention titled "Knowledge Based Method and 27 28

Apparatus for Designing Integrated Circuits using Functional Specifications." A copy of the '432 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

- 14. By assignment, Ricoh is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in the '432 Patent and has the sole right to sue and recover for infringement thereof.
- 15. The '432 Patent describes, inter alia, a method for designing an application specific integrated circuit. By using the invention of the '432 Patent, one can define functional architecture independent specifications for an integrated circuit and translate functional architecture independent specifications into the detailed information needed for directly producing the integrated circuit.

## **PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

## COUNT 1

- 16. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Aeroflex has been and is now infringing the '432 Patent by utilizing in the United States the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent as part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Aeroflex will continue to infringe the '432 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

19. As a consequence of Aeroflex's infringement, Ricoh has been irreparably damaged to an extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in the future unless Aeroflex is enjoined by this Court from committing further acts of infringement.

- 20. Upon information and belief, Aeroflex's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful.
- 21. Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Aeroflex's infringement.

## **COUNT 2**

- 22. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof.
- 23. Upon information and belief, AMI has been and is now infringing the '432 Patent by utilizing in the United States the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent as part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 24. Upon information and belief, AMI will continue to infringe the '432 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 25. As a consequence of AMI's infringement, Ricoh has been irreparably damaged to an extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in the future unless AMI is enjoined by this Court from committing further acts of infringement.
- 26. Upon information and belief, AMI's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful.

Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for AMI's infringement. 27. 1 2 COUNT 3 3 28. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof. 4 Upon information and belief, Matrox has been and is now infringing the '432 Patent by 29. 5 utilizing in the United States the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent as 7 part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or by selling, 8 offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits 9 10 made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, 11 either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. 12 Upon information and belief, Matrox will continue to infringe the '432 Patent unless 30. 13 14 enjoined by this Court. 15 As a consequence of Matrox's infringement, Ricoh has been irreparably damaged to an 31. 16 extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in 17 18 the future unless Matrox is enjoined by this Court from committing further acts of 19 infringement. 20 21 32. Upon information and belief, Matrox's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful. 22 33. Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Matrox's 23 infringement. 24 25 **COUNT 4** 26 34. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof. 27 28

by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

- 42. Upon information and belief, Matrox Int'l will continue to infringe the '432 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 43. As a consequence of Matrox Int'l's infringement, Ricoh has been irreparably damaged to an extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in the future unless Matrox Int'l is enjoined by this Court from committing further acts of infringement.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Matrox Int'l's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful.
- 45. Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Matrox Int'l's infringement.

## COUNT 6

- 46. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof.
- 47. Upon information and belief, Matrox Tech has been and is now infringing the '432 Patent by utilizing in the United States the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent as part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

CASE NO. CV-03-4669 MJJ (EMC) Page 10 AMENDED COMPLAINT

extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in

27

28

l		
1	G. that AMI account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including	
2	enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys' fees	
3	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;	
5	H. that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to	
6	it by reason of AMI's infringement of the '432 Patent;	
7	I. that Matrox has infringed the '432 Patent;	
8	J. that Matrox, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and those	
10	acting, or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with Matrox, be preliminarily and	
11 12	permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;	
13	K. that Matrox account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including	
14	enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys' fees	
15 16	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;	
17	L. that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to	
18	it by reason of Matrox's infringement of the '432 Patent;	
19 20	M. that Matrox Graphics has infringed the '432 Patent;	
21	N. that Matrox Graphics, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and	
22	those acting, or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with Matrox Graphics, be	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;	
25	O. that Matrox Graphics account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284,	
26	including enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys'	
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;	

1	Z. that Ricoh be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper	
2	under the current circumstances;	
3	AA. that UTMC has infringed the '432 Patent;	
4		
5	BB.that UTMC, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and those	
6	acting, or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with UTMC, be preliminarily and	
7	permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;	
8		
9	CC. that UTMC account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including	
10	enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys' fees	
11	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and	
12		
13	DD. that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages	
14	caused to it by reason of UTMC's infringement of the '432 Patent.	
15	Ricoh Company, Ltd.	
16	By:	
17	Jeffrey B. Demain (SBN 126715) Jonathan Weissglass (SBN 185008)	
18	ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM,	
	RUBIN & DEMAIN 177 Post Street, Suite 300	
19	San Francisco, California 94108	
20	Phone: (415) 421-7151 Fax: (415) 362-8064	
21	Gary M. Hoffman	
22	Edward Meilman	
23	Eric Oliver Kenneth W. Brothers	
24	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &	
	OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street NW	
25	Washington, D.C. 20037-1526 Telephone: (202) 785-9700	
26	Facsimile: (202) 887-0689	
27	Counsel for Plaintiff,	
28	Ricoh Company.	
	CASE NO. CV-03-4669 MII (EMC) Page 14	

CASE NO. CV-03-4669 MJJ (EMC) Page 14

AMENDED COMPLAINT